

Why We Can't Proceduralize Everything: And a Practical Alternative



WHY DO SO MANY ORGANIZATIONS TRY TO PROCEDURALIZE EVERYTHING?

"It is only through enforced standardization of methods... that this faster work can be assured."

~ F.W. Taylor, *Principles of Scientific Management*, (1911), ch.2, p.83.

"If you can't describe what you are doing as a process, then you don't know what you are doing."

~ W. Edwards Deming, *The Essential Deming*, (J.N. Orsini, Ed.), (2012).



WHY WE CAN'T PROCEDURALIZE EVERYTHING?

"When Taylor started propounding his principles, nine out of every 10 working people did manual work... By 2010 it will constitute no more than one-tenth..."

~ Peter Drucker, *The Rise of the Knowledge Society*, Wilson Quarterly, (Spring 1993), p.63-65.

"...you cannot write procedures to anticipate all the situations and conditions that shape people's work..."

~ Karl Weick & Kathleen Sutcliffe, *Managing the Unexpected*, (2007).

FOUR TYPES OF WORK PLANNING -- A PRACTICAL ALTERNATIVE

Use this model to debunk the myth that:

"Without strict procedures, all we would have is chaos."



Mechanistic

- Like a machine
- Closed / Controllable
- Deterministic / Cause → Effect
- Errors = defects to eliminate
- Build robust systems



Adaptive

- Like a living organism
 - Open / Dynamic
- Probabilistic / Cause ~ Effect
 - Errors = signals to adapt
- Build resilient systems



Procedures	Heuristics / ROTs	Principles	Tacit Skill
How to do a task "Step 1) Turn power off..."	What to do, but not how "De-energize before..."	How a system works "Electricity takes..."	Unwritten knowledge "Can do. Can't explain."

- More mechanistic tasks (1-5) may get done best via procedures.
- But more adaptive tasks (6-10) may get done best via a blend of procedures, heuristics / ROT (rules-of-thumb), principles and tacit skill.
- For more adaptive tasks, consider transforming procedures into a more effective blend of all four types of work planning.

To learn more, visit www.reliableorg.com